arXiv:1407.5259v1 [math.FA] 20 Jul 2014

CONTRACTIBILITY OF ULTRAPOWER OF FRÉCHET ALGEBRAS

E. FEIZI AND J. SOLEYMANI

Abstract. The aim of this article is to study a number of relationships between Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and its ultrapower $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$. We give a characterization in some aspects such as locally bounded approximate identity. We consider the notion of contractibility of ultrapower of Fréchet algebra, and we show that if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has approximation property with good ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ then $\mathcal{A}$ is contractible if and only if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is contractible.

Introduction

A Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be contractible if for every Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathcal{X}$ each continuous derivation from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{X}$ is inner. Contractibility of Banach algebras has been described in term of diagonal. In this manner a Banach algebra is contractible if and only if it has a diagonal. For more details see [16].

The theory of amenability and inclusions has recently been developed in the direction of problems on nonnormed algebras. Fréchet algebras, i.e, metrizable locally convex complete topological algebras, are of particular interest. There are essential differences between topologies of Banach and Fréchet algebras. For example, every bounded subset of Fréchet nonnormed algebras has empty interior.

Ultrapower of locally convex spaces and Banach spaces was introduced by Heinrich in [7], [8], and [9]. Daws in [4] discussed the relation between amenability of a Banach algebra and its ultrapower.

In this paper, we study the construction of the ultrapower of Fréchet algebras and consider the contractibility of such structures. For this purpose, we first show that for a Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{A}$, and a countable incomplete ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$, its ultrapower $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is Fréchet algebra. Then, we define approximation property for locally convex spaces and locally diagonal for Fréchet algebras and prove that for a good ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$, if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has approximation property then $\mathcal{A}$ has (locally) diagonal if and only if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has (locally) diagonal. The conclusion is that if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46M07, 46H05, 46A04; Secondary 46A13, 46A32.

Key words and phrases. ultrapower, Fréchet algebra, locally bounded approximate identity, locally bounded approximate diagonal, locally virtual diagonal, approximate diagonal, approximation property.

approximation property with a good ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ then $\mathcal{A}$ is contractible if and only if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is contractible.

Before giving the proof of the above concepts, we define locally bounded approximate identity and show that a Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is unital if and only if $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is so. Finally this applies to bounded approximate identity and locally bounded approximate identity.

1. Preliminaries

In this section some notations and preliminaries on Fréchet algebras and ultrafilters will be setup. We generally follow [1, 2, 3, 14] for more details.

A complete topological algebra $\mathcal{A}$ whose topology is given by an increasing countable family of sub-multiplicative semi-norms (P_n) is called a Fréchet algebra and is denoted by $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$. By [14, Corollary 3.2] every Fréchet algebra within a topological algebraic isomorphism is the projective limit of a decreasing sequence of Banach algebras which, for Fréchet algebra $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A} = \varprojlim \mathcal{A}_n$ where for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the completion of quotient normed space $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{P_n^{-1}\{0\}}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fréchet algebra. Then a Fréchet space X is a Fréchet $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule if both sides module actions of $\mathcal{A}$ on X are continuous. For example, $\mathcal{A}$ itself is a Fréchet $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. Furthermore X^*, the dual space of a Fréchet A-bimodule X with the strong topology, is a locally convex $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule with respect to the module operations defined by

$$\langle a \cdot f, x\rangle = \langle f, x \cdot a\rangle, \qquad \langle f \cdot a, x\rangle = \langle f, a \cdot x\rangle \qquad (x \in X),$$

where $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in X^*$. In this case we say that X^* is the dual module of X.

A filter on a set I is a subset F of $P(I)$ such that: (i) empty set is not in F; (ii) F is closed under finite intersection; (iii) F is upper set. By Zorn's Lemma, the maximal filters exist and are called ultrafilters. If $x \in X$ then the collection $U_x = \{A \subseteq X : x \in A\}$ is an ultrafilter. This ultrafilter being the principal ultrafilter at x. Ultrafilters which are not principal are called non-principal. We want to study the concept of a limit along a filter. Let F be a filter on a set I and $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family in a topological space. We write $x = \lim_{i\in F} x_i$ if for each open neighborhood U of x, then $\{i \in I : x_i \in U\} \in F$. We call an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ countably incomplete when there exists a sequence $(U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{U}$ such that $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq U_3 \cdots$ and $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} U_n = \emptyset$. In this paper, it is supposed that $\mathcal{U}$ is countably incomplete ultrafilter.(For more details, see [5, 1.3] and [19]).

Proposition 1.1. [5, Proposition 1.3.4] *Let X be a compact topological space, let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter on a set I, and let $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ be a family in X. Then there exists $x \in X$ with $x = \lim_{i\in\mathcal{U}} x_i$. Furthermore, if X is Hausdorff, then x is unique.*

Note that the converse of the above Proposition is also true.

The rest of this section is devoted to the introducing of a new kind of ultrafilter, which is called "good ultrafilter". Keisler in 1964, introduced the notion of an $\aleph^+$-good ultrafilter in the field of sets (in particular, on $\mathcal{P}(\aleph)$). He also showed the existence of $\aleph^+$-good countably incomplete ultrafilters on $\mathcal{P}(\aleph)$, where $\aleph$ is a cardinal and $\aleph^+ = 2^{\aleph}$.

Before we give the definition of good ultrafilter, we need some notations on functions. Let I be a nonempty set, $P_f(I)$ the family of finite subsets of I, and β a cardinal. Suppose that f, g are functions from the set $P_f(\beta)$ into the power set $P(I)$. We say that $g \leq f$ whenever for all $u \in P_f(\beta)$, $g(u) \subset f(u)$. Also, f is monotonic whenever for all $u, w \in P_f(\beta)$ with $u \subset w$, $g(u) \supset g(w)$. The function g is said to be additive whenever

$$g(u \cup w) = g(u) \cap g(w), \qquad u, w \in P_f(\beta).$$

By [2, Lemma 6.1.3] every additive function is monotonic.

Definition. Let $\aleph$ be an infinite cardinal. An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on a set I is called $\aleph$-good ultrafilter if for each cardinal $\beta \leq \aleph$ and every monotonic function f from $P_f(\beta)$ into $\mathcal{U}$ then there exists an additive function g from $P_f(\beta)$ into $\mathcal{U}$ such that $g \leq f$.

The next result guarantees that there are sufficiently many good ultrafilters.

Proposition 1.2. [2, Theorem 6.1.4] *Let $\aleph$ be an infinite cardinal. On each set of cardinality not smaller than $\aleph$ there exists an $\aleph^+$-good countably incomplete ultrafilter.*

For a locally convex space E let $\aleph_U(E)$ be the least cardinality of a basis of neighborhoods of zero, $\aleph_B(E)$ be the least cardinality of a fundamental (i.e. cofinal with respect to inclusion) family of bounded sets and $\aleph(E) = \max(\aleph_U(E), \aleph_B(E))$. Since by [11, Corollary 16.3] every metrizable locally convex space that has a fundamental sequence of bounded sets is normable, for Fréchet space E with $\aleph(E) = \aleph_0$, E is Banach space, where $\aleph_0$ is the first infinite cardinal number.

2. Ultrapower of Fréchet algebra

Let I be an index set that equipped with a countably incomplete ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$. The linear subspace $\ell_\infty(I, \mathcal{A})$ of $\prod_i \mathcal{A}$ for Fréchet algebra $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ can be define as follows:

$$\ell_\infty(I, \mathcal{A}) := \{(x_i) \in \prod_i \mathcal{A} : \sup_i P_n(x_i) < \infty, \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

This space with respect to semi-norms Q_n which are defined as

$$Q_n((x_i)) := \sup_{i \in I} P_n(x_i), \qquad (x_i) \in \ell_\infty(I, \mathcal{A}),$$

is a Fréchet space. Since for any $(x_i) \in \ell_\infty(I, \mathcal{A})$, the set $\{P_n(x_i) : i \in I\}$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, the Proposition 1.1 implies that $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(x_i)$ exists and closed subspace $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}$ can be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U} = \{(x_i) \in \ell_\infty(I, \mathcal{A}) : \lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(x_i) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

So the quotient space $(\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U} := \frac{\ell_\infty(I,\mathcal{A})}{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}}$ is well defined and its elements are denoted by $(x_i)_\mathcal{U} := (x_i) + \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}$ for $(x_i) \in \ell_\infty(I, \mathcal{A})$.

Using above notations for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can define increasing semi-norms Q'_n as follows on $(\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$:

$$Q'_n((x_i)_\mathcal{U}) := \inf\{Q_n((x_i) + (y_i)) : (y_i) \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{U}\}. \tag{1}$$

Given semi-norms on $(\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$ make this space complete according to [19, Proposition 3.2.7], so $(\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$ is Fréchet space. As $\mathcal{A}$ is algebra, for all $(x_i)_\mathcal{U}, (y_i)_\mathcal{U} \in (\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$ the product is defined by $(x_i)_\mathcal{U} \cdot (y_i)_\mathcal{U} := (x_i y_i)_\mathcal{U}$ and $(\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$ becomes Fréchet algebra.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fréchet algebra. Then for every $(x_i)_\mathcal{U} \in (\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$*

$$Q'_n((x_i)_\mathcal{U}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(x_i). \tag{2}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of [13, Theorem 2.4]. □

We now develop a new version of bounded approximate identity in Fréchet algebra which is called locally bounded approximate identity.

Definition. Let $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ be a Fréchet algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has a locally bounded approximate identity if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a net $(u_i)_{i\in I}$ and a constant $C_n > 0$ such that for all $i \in I$, $P_n(u_i) < C_n$ and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $P_n(a - u_i a) \to 0$ and $P_n(a - a u_i) \to 0$. Moreover, it is assumed that $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded approximate identity if there exists a net (u_i) and a constant $C > 0$ such that $P_n(u_i) < C$, $P_n(a - u_i a) \to 0$ and $P_n(a - a u_i) \to 0$, for all $i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Similarly left and right (locally) bounded approximate identity will be defined.

Definition. Let $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ be a Fréchet algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has a locally bounded approximate unit if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_n > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $P_n(u) < C_n$, $P_n(a - ua) < \epsilon$ and $P_n(a - au) < \epsilon$. $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded approximate unit if for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $P_n(u) < C$, $P_n(a - ua) < \epsilon$ and $P_n(a - au) < \epsilon$.

Now we investigate some relation between unit and (locally) bounded approximate unit of $\mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathcal{A})_\mathcal{U}$ the general structure of our proof is similar to the proof that is used by Daws in [4], the only difference being that we applied the semi-norms instead of the norms.

Proposition 2.2. *For a Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{A}$, $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is unital if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is unital.*

Proof. Because for a unital Fréchet algebra with unity e we can assume $P_n(e) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (see [6]). $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is clearly unital.
Now let $e = (e_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \in (\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ be unit for $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, i and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_n(a_i) \leq 1$ and

$$P_m(a_i - e_i a_i) \geq \sup\{P_n(a - e_i a) : a \in \mathcal{A}, P_n(a) \leq 1 \text{ for all n} \in \mathbb{N}\} - \epsilon.$$

Let $b = (a_i) \in (\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ then $b = eb = (e_i a_i) \in (\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ and

$$0 = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_m(a_i - e_i a_i) \geq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sup\{P_n(a - e_i a) : a \in \mathcal{A}, P_n(a) \leq 1 \text{ for all n} \in \mathbb{N}\} - \epsilon.$$

As $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sup\{P_n(a - e_i a) : a \in \mathcal{A}, P_n(a) \leq 1 \text{ for all n} \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0.$$

With the same method we have;

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sup\{P_n(a - a e_i) : a \in \mathcal{A}, P_n(a) \leq 1 \text{ for all n} \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0.$$

This implies that for all $\epsilon > 0$, the set $U = \{i : P_n(a - a e_i) + P_n(a - e_i a) < \epsilon, a \in \mathcal{A}, P_n(a) \leq 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{U}$, then for all $i, j \in \mathcal{U}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$P_n(e_i - e_j) \leq P_n(e_i - e_i e_j) + P_n(e_j - e_i e_j) < 2\epsilon.$$

Now we can extract a cauchy sequence from the family (e_i) converges to say $e_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that $e_{\mathcal{A}}$ is unit for $\mathcal{A}$, as required. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ be a Fréchet algebra and let $m \geq 1$ and $C > 0$. Suppose that for each $a_1, \cdots, a_m \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$P_n(a_i - u a_i) < \epsilon, \qquad P_n(u) < C,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has a bounded left approximate identity.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of [3, Proposition 2.9.14]. □

The above lemma also holds for bounded (right) approximate identity.

Corollary 2.4. *A Fréchet algebra has bounded (right,left) approximate identity if and only if it has bounded (right,left) approximate unity.*

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fréchet algebra and $\mathcal{U}$ be a countably incomplete ultrapower. Then $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has (locally) bounded approximate identity if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ dose have it too. The same statement holds for left or right (locally) bounded approximate identities.*

Proof. Since Dixon's Theorem (see [3, Prposition 2.9.3]) also holds for Fréchet algebras with respect to the above corollary, the proof is the same as the proof 2.2 due to [4, Proposition 2.2]. □

3. Diagonal for Frećhet algebras

Let $(\mathcal{A},(R_n))$ and $(\mathcal{B},(S_n))$ be two Fréchet algebras. Then by [12] we can define the projective tensor product $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}$ with induced topology by the following sub-multiplicative semi-norms

$$(R_n\otimes S_n)(T) := \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{k} R_n(x_i)S_n(y_i) : T=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i\otimes y_i \in \mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{B}\}.$$

And its completion given by

$$(R_n\hat{\otimes} S_n)(T) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_n(x_i)S_n(y_i) : T=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i\otimes y_i \in \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B}\}.$$

The projective tensor product $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ is a Fréchet $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule where the multiplication is specified by

$$a\cdot(b\otimes c) := ab\otimes c \quad and \quad (b\otimes c)\cdot a := b\otimes ca \qquad (a,b,c\in\mathcal{A}).$$

By above discussion we can define $((\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{U}},(R_n\hat{\otimes}S_n)')$, where $(R_n\hat{\otimes}S_n)'$ is the semi-norm that we obtain from $R_n\hat{\otimes}S_n$ as constructed of equation 1 in section 2, so $(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is Fréchet algebra and by Proposition 2.1 we have:

$$(R_n\hat{\otimes}S_n)'(T_i)_{\mathcal{U}} = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} R_n\hat{\otimes}S_n(T_i), \qquad (T_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\in(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{B})_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Note. For Fréchet algebra $(\mathcal{A},(P_n))$ we just write $\hat{P}_n$ instead of $P_n\hat{\otimes}P_n$ for $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$.

Let $(E,(R_n))$ and $(F,(S_n))$ be two Fréchet spaces. Then we consider the canonical map

$$\psi_0 : (E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}} \to (E\hat{\otimes}F)_{\mathcal{U}},$$

as in [4] by $\psi_0((x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\otimes(y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) := (x_i\otimes y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\in(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$, $(y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\in(F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $(x_i\otimes y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\in(E\hat{\otimes}F)_{\mathcal{U}}$.
If we replaced E and F in the above by Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then ψ_0 is both $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule homomorphism and $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$-bimodule homomorphism.

Definition. A locally convex space E has approximation property whenever the space of finite rank operators on E is dense in the space of continuous operators on E with respect to the topology of uniform convergence on all precompact subset of E.

Note. If E is quasi-complete space then the topology of uniform convergence on precompact subsets coincides on topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets, especially in Fréchet spaces.

Lemma 3.1. *A locally convex space E with the family of semi-norms $(P_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ has approximation property if and only if for every locally convex space F with the family of semi- norms $(Q_\beta)_{\beta\in J}$, every continuous operator $T : E\to F$, every precompact subset K of E and every $\epsilon>0$,*

there exits a finite rank operator $S : E \to F$ such that $Q_\beta(Tx - Sx) < \epsilon$ for every $x \in K$ and $\beta \in J$.

Proof. Let E has approximation property and $T : E \to F$ be a continuous operator. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and precompact subset K of E, there is a finite rank operator U such that

$$P_\alpha(x - Ux) < \epsilon, \qquad \alpha \in I, x \in K.$$

Since T is continuous, there exists semi norms $\{P_i : i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ and a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$Q_\beta(Tx) \leq C\sup_{1\leq i\leq n} P_i(x), \qquad \beta \in J, x \in E,$$

see ([18, Chapter 3, §1.1]). Now let $TU = S$. Then S is finite rank operator from E into F such that

$$Q_\beta(Tx - TSx) < C\sup_{1\leq i\leq n} P_i(x - Sx) < C\epsilon,$$

for all $\beta \in J$. So it completes the proof. The reverse is obvious. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(E, (P_n))$ be a Fréchet space with approximation property and let $(F, (Q_n))$ be a Fréchet space. Suppose that for every $\psi \in F'$ and for all $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n \in E\hat{\otimes}F$ where (x_n) and (y_n) are bounded sequences in E and F respectively, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(y_n)x_n = 0$ then $u = 0$.*

Proof. Let $u \in E\hat{\otimes}F$. Recall that u can be written as a series $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(x_n \otimes y_n)$, where (x_n), (y_n) are bounded null sequences in E and F respectively and scalar sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < 1$ [12, §41 4(6)]. Let F_b' and $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F_b')$ be respectively the spaces of linear continuous operators from F into $\mathbb{C}$ and E into F_b' with respect to the bounded convergence topology (see [12, §39]). Given $T \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, F_b')$ and $\epsilon > 0$, since E has the approximation property, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite rank operator $S : E \to F_b'$, such that

$$R_M(Tx - Sx) < \epsilon, \qquad x \in K = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\},$$

where R_M is the semi norm related to locally convex space F_b', is defined by $R_M(f) := \sup_{y\in M} |f(y)|$ for all $f \in F_b'$ and bounded subset M of F. We have $Sx = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i(x)\psi_i$ where $\phi_i \in E'$ and $\psi_i \in F'$. Let

$$\langle u, S\rangle = \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n, S\rangle := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Sx_n)y_n.$$

Since by [1, Proposition 2] $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F_b') = (E\hat{\otimes}F)'$ where the right hand side has the topology of uniform convergence on the bounded sets of the form $\bar{\Gamma}(C \otimes D)$, such that C and D are bounded subsets in E and F respectively. In this case, we have

$$\langle u, S\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i(x_n)\psi_i(y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_i(y_n)x_n) = 0.$$

Therefore for bounded subset $M = \{y_n\}$ in F we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, T\rangle| &\leq |\langle u, T-S\rangle| + |\langle u, S\rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |((T-S)\lambda_n x_n)y_n| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| R_M(Tx_n - Sx_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n|\epsilon \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since T and ϵ are arbitrary, it follows that $\langle u, T\rangle = 0$, thus $u = 0$, which is the desired conclusion. □

Proposition 3.3. *If $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $\aleph(E)^+$-good ultrafilter has the approximation property, then ψ_0 is an injection for any Fréchet space F.*

Proof. Suppose that $T \in ((E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}}, (P'_n\hat{\otimes}Q'_n))$ has a representation $T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \otimes y_n$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P'(x_n)Q'(y_n) < \infty$. If $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has the approximation property then, by 3.2, if $T \in ((E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}}, (P'_n\hat{\otimes}Q'_n))$ is non-zero, then there exist $\mu \in (E)'_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\lambda \in (F)'_{\mathcal{U}}$ with

$$0 \neq \langle \mu \otimes \lambda, T\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \mu, x_n\rangle\langle \lambda, y_n\rangle.$$

As we only care about the value of μ on the countable set $\{x_n\}$, by [7, Theorem 3.4] we may suppose that $\mu \in (E')_{\mathcal{U}}$, and similarly, that $\lambda \in (F')_{\mathcal{U}}$, say $\mu = (\mu_i)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$. Let representatives $x_n = (x_n^{(i)})$ and $y_n = (y_n^{(i)})$. Then by absolute convergence,

$$\langle \mu \otimes \lambda, T\rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \mu_i, x_n^{(i)}\rangle\langle \lambda_i, y_n^{(i)}\rangle = \langle (\mu_i \otimes \lambda_i), \psi_0(T)\rangle.$$

Hence we must have $\psi_0(T) \neq 0$ and it completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.4. *If $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $\aleph(E)^+$-good ultrafilter has the approximation property, then ψ_0 has closed image.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq u \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and suppose $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(x_n \otimes y_n)$ is a representation of u with $x_n \to 0$, $y_n \to 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \leq 1$, see [12, §41 4(6)]. Let $k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|$, since by [15, Proposition 22.14] for absolutely convex zero neighborhood V of $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ we have $||u||_V = \sup_{T\in V^\circ} |\langle u, T\rangle|$, without loss of generality we can assume $0 \neq ||u||_V$ (otherwise we can find a V such that $0 \neq ||u||_V$) so for $\epsilon = \frac{||u||_V}{2(k+1)}$ there exists a $T \in V^\circ$ such that $\frac{||u||_V(2k+1)}{2(k+1)} = ||u||_V - \frac{||u||_V}{2(k+1)} \leq \langle u, T\rangle$. Now because $\mathcal{L}_b((E)_{\mathcal{U}}, ((F)_{\mathcal{U}})'_b) = ((E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}})'$, by [1, Proposition 2] we can assume $T \in \mathcal{L}_b((E)_{\mathcal{U}}, ((F)_{\mathcal{U}})'_b)$ and since $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$ has approximation

property there exists a finite rank operator $S : (E)_{\mathcal{U}} \longrightarrow (F)'_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $R_M(Tx - Sx) < \frac{||u||_V}{2(k+1)}$ for $x \in \{x_n\} \cup \{0\}$ and $M = \{y_n\}$ where R_M is as in Proposition 3.2. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, T - S\rangle &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |((T-S)\alpha_n x_n)y_n| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| R_M((T-S)x_n) \\ &\leq \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|. \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, S\rangle &\geq \langle u, T\rangle - \epsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \\ &\geq \frac{(2k+1)||u||_V}{2(k+1)} - \frac{k||u||_V}{2(k+1)} = \frac{||u||_V}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \otimes \lambda_j$ representation of S with $(\mu_j) \subset (E)'_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $(\lambda_j) \subset (F)'_{\mathcal{U}}$ so that $\langle u, S\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \langle \mu_j, \alpha_n x_n\rangle \langle \lambda_j, y_n\rangle$. By consideration of closed span $\{x_n\}$ and [7, Theorem 3.4], we can assume that $\mu_j \in (E')_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\lambda_j \in (F')_{\mathcal{U}}$ for each j. Now let representations $\mu_j = (\mu_j^i)$, $\lambda_j = (\lambda_j^i)$ and for each i let $S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j^i \otimes \lambda_j^i$. By a calculation we have $\langle u, S\rangle = \langle (S_i), \psi_0(u)\rangle$. So:

$$|\langle (S_i), \psi_0(u)| \geq \frac{||u||_V}{2}.$$

This implies that if $\{\psi_0(u_n)\}$ is cauchy sequence then $\{u_n\}$ is cauchy sequence and since $(E)_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{\otimes} (F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is complete it converges to an element u in $(E)_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{\otimes} (F)_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $\lim_n \psi_0(u_n) = \psi(u)$ and hence this shows that the image of ψ_0 is closed. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let E, F be Fréchet spaces and $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with $\aleph(E)^+$-good ultrafilter has approximation property. Then*

$$\psi_0 : ((E)_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{\otimes} (F)_{\mathcal{U}}, (P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n)) \to ((E \hat{\otimes} F)_{\mathcal{U}}, ((P_n \hat{\otimes} Q_n)'))$$

is continuous and bounded below. Moreover, there exists $(P_{n_0} \hat{\otimes} Q_{n_0})'$ such that for all $V \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{\otimes} (F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n(V) \leq C_n (P_{n_0} \hat{\otimes} Q_{n_0})'(\psi_0(V))$ and $(P_n \hat{\otimes} Q_n)'(\psi_0(V)) \leq P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n(V)$, for some $C_n > 0$.

Proof. Let $V \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes (F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P'_n \otimes Q'_n(V) &= \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{k} P'_n(x^j)Q'_n(y^j) : V = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(x_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes (y_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\} \\
&= \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(x_i^j) \lim_{\mathcal{U}} Q_n(y_i^j) : V = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(x_i^j)_U \otimes (y_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\} \\
&= \inf\{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(x_i^j)Q_n(y_i^j) : V = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(x_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes (y_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\} \\
&= \inf\{\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_n(x_i^j)Q_n(y_i^j) : V = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(x_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes (y_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\} \\
&= \inf\{\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_n(x_i^j)Q_n(y_i^j) : V' = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(x_i^j \otimes y_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\} \\
&= \inf\{\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_n(x_i^j)Q_n(y_i^j) : V' = (\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_i^j \otimes y_i^j)_{\mathcal{U}}\}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $V'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_i^j \otimes y_i^j$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{k} P_n(x_i^j)Q_n(y_i^j) \geq P_n \otimes Q_n(V'_i)$, and hence

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_n(x_i^j)Q_n(y_i^j) \geq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n \otimes Q_n(V'_i) = (P_n \otimes Q_n)'(V'),$$

so $P'_n \otimes Q'_n(V) \geq (P_n \otimes Q_n)'(V')$. Since the semi norms $P'_n \otimes Q'_n$ and $(P_n \otimes Q_n)'$ are continuous on $(E)_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes (F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $(E \otimes F)_{\mathcal{U}}$ respectively, then

$$(P_n \hat{\otimes} Q_n)'(V') \leq P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n(V), \qquad V \in ((E)_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{\otimes} (F)_{\mathcal{U}}), V' \in (E \hat{\otimes} F)_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Suppose that $V' = \psi_0(V)$, from the last inequality we have,

$$(P_n \otimes Q_n)'(\psi_0(V)) \leq P'_n \otimes Q'_n(V),$$

so this shows that ψ_0 is continuous. Since ψ_0 by the proposition 3.4 has closed image and by the proposition 3.3 is injective, from the [17, Corollary 2.12] we have ψ_0^{-1} is continuous.

Moreover for every $P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n$ by [18, Theorem 1.1,§3] there exists a constant $C_n > 0$ and $(P_{n_0} \hat{\otimes} Q_{n_0})'$ such that $P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n(\psi_0^{-1}(V)) \leq C_n(P_{n_0} \hat{\otimes} Q_{n_0})'(V)$ and $P'_n \hat{\otimes} Q'_n(V) \leq C_n(P_{n_0} \hat{\otimes} Q_{n_0})'(\psi_0(V)$. So this completes the proof. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $(E, (P_n))$, and $(F, (Q_n))$ be Fréchet spaces, and $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter on an index set I and let $T \in (E \hat{\otimes} F)_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There exist a sequence* $\alpha_{k,n}$ *of positive real numbers such that* $\sum_{k,n} \alpha_{k,n} < \infty$ *and* $T = (T_i)$ *admits a representation of the form*

$$T_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^{(i)} \otimes y_k^{(i)} \in E\hat{\otimes}F, \qquad i \in I,$$

where for each i, k *we have* $P_n(x_k^{(i)})Q_n(y_k^{(i)}) \leq \alpha_{k,n}$.

(ii) T *lies in the image of* ψ_0.

Proof. Suppose that (i) holds. By rescaling, we may suppose that $P_n(x_k^{(i)}) = Q_n(y_k^{(i)}) \leq \alpha_{k,n}^{1/2}$ for each $i \in I$ and $k \geq 1$. For each $k \geq 1$, let

$$x_k = (x_k^{(i)}) \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad y_k = (y_k^{(i)}) \in (F)_{\mathcal{U}},$$

with $P_n'(x_k) \leq \alpha_{k,n}^{1/2}$ and $Q_n'(y_k) \leq \alpha_{k,n}^{1/2}$. Now let

$$\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \otimes y_k \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}},$$

and $\sigma_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \otimes y_k$, so $\sigma_m \to \sigma \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{m\to\infty} (P_n\hat{\otimes}Q_n)'(\psi_0(\sigma_m) - T) &= \lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{\mathcal{U}} (P_n\hat{\otimes}Q_n)(\sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k^{(i)} \otimes y_k^{(i)} - T_i) \\
&\leq \lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} P_n(x_k^{(i)})Q_n(y_k^{(i)}) \\
&\leq \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k,n} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so this shows that $\psi_0(\sigma) = T$, as required. Conversely, suppose that $\psi_0(\sigma) = T$ for

$$\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \otimes y_k \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(F)_{\mathcal{U}},$$

with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_n'(x_k)Q_n'(y_k) < \infty$. And let $\alpha_{k,n} = P_n'(x_k)Q_n'(y_k)$ and pick representatives $x_k = (x_k^{(i)}) \in (E)_{\mathcal{U}}$ *and* $y_k = (y_k^{(i)}) \in (F)_{\mathcal{U}}$, such that $P_n'(x_k) = P(x_k^i)$ and $Q_n'(x_k) = Q(x_k^i)$ for each $i \in I$. If we assume

$$T_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^{(i)} \otimes y_k^{(i)}, \quad \sigma_m = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k \otimes y_k,$$

then $T = \lim_{m\to\infty} \psi_0(\sigma_m)$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(P_n\hat{\otimes}Q_n)'((T_i - \psi_0(\sigma_m)) &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}}(P_n\hat{\otimes}Q_n)(T_i - \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k^{(i)} \otimes y_k^{(i)}) \\ &\leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} P_n(x_k^{(i)})P_n(y_k^{(i)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k,n}\end{aligned}$$

and so taking limits on both sides of the above equation as $m \to \infty$, we see that $(T_i)_{\mathcal{U}} = T$, as required to complete the proof. □

4. Contractibility of ultrapower of Fréchet algebras

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Fréchetf algebra, then the corresponding diagonal operator is defined through, $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ by $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a \otimes b) = ab$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. By definition a diagonal for $\mathcal{A}$ is an element $T \in \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(T)a = a$ and $a \cdot T = T \cdot a$ $(a \in \mathcal{A})$, for more details see [16]. We now introduce a 'locally' version of diagonal for Fréchet algebras.

Definition. Let $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ be a Fréchet algebra. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ has a locally diagonal if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $T_n \in \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$ such that $P_n(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(T_n)a - a) = 0$ and $P_n(a \cdot T_n - T_n \cdot a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be Fréchet algebra, we call $\mathcal{A}$ contractible if it has diagonal.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, (P_n))$ be a Fréchet algebra and $\mathcal{U}$ be an $\aleph(\mathcal{A})^+$-good ultrafilter on an index set I, such that $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has approximation property. Then $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has (locally) diagonal if and only if for a constant $C > 0$, there exist a sequence of positive reals $(\alpha_{j,n})_{n,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\sum_{j,n} \alpha_{j,n} \leq C$, such that for each $i \in I$ there exists sequences $(a_j^i)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_j^i)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ with following condition:*

- $T_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^i \otimes a_j^i \in \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathcal{A}$,
- $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{P}_n(a_i \cdot T_i - T_i \cdot a_i) = 0$, *for all* $a = (a_i) \in (\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$
- $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(T_i)a_i - a_i) = 0$, *for all* $a = (a_i) \in (\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$
- $P_n(b_j^i)P_n(a_j^i) \leq \alpha_{j,n}$, *for all* $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\{T_n\}$ be a (locally) diagonal in $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$. By definition of ψ_0 the following diagram commutes.



Since by the proposition 3.3 ψ_0 is injective, then $\psi_0(T_n) = (T_i^n)_{i\in I}$ is not zero. So for all $a = (a_i) \in (\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \hat{P}_n(a_i \cdot T_i^n - T_i^n \cdot a_i) &= (P_n\hat{\otimes}P_n)'(a \cdot (T_i^n)_{i\in I} - (T_i^n)_{i\in I} \cdot a) \\ &= (P_n\hat{\otimes}P_n)'(a \cdot \psi_0(T_n) - \psi_0(T_n) \cdot a) \\ &= (P_n\hat{\otimes}P_n)'(\psi_0(a \cdot T_n - T_n \cdot a)) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

with same method we have

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} P_n(\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(T_i^n)a_i - a_i) = 0.$$

From the proposition 3.6, the above condition holds.

For the reverse, since $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has approximation property, then ψ_0 is bounded below and the proposition 3.5 implies that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is constant $M_n > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $M_n(P_n'\hat{\otimes}P_n')(a) \leq (P_{n_0}\hat{\otimes}P_{n_0})'(\psi_0(a))$ for all $a \in (A)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$. Now for all $i \in I$, let $T_n = (T_i^n)$ in the above condition so by proposition 3.6 T_n lies in the image of ψ_0. And hence there is $S_n \in (A)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\psi_0(S_n) = T_n$.

Now for $a \in (A)_{\mathcal{U}}\hat{\otimes}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

(i) $(P_n'\hat{\otimes}P_n')(a \cdot S_n - S_n \cdot a) = 0$, because

$$\begin{aligned}(P_n'\hat{\otimes}P_n')(a \cdot S_n - S_n \cdot a) &\leq \frac{1}{M_n}(P_{n_0}\hat{\otimes}P_{n_0})'(\psi_0(a \cdot S_n - S_n \cdot a)) \\ &= \frac{1}{M_n}(P_{n_0}\hat{\otimes}P_{n_0})'(a \cdot T_n - T_n \cdot a) = 0\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $P_n(\Delta_{(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}}(S_n)a - a) = 0$, because

$$\begin{aligned}(P_n'\hat{\otimes}P_n')(\Delta_{(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}}(S_n)a - a) &= (P_n'\hat{\otimes}P_n')((\Delta_{\mathcal{A}})_{\mathcal{U}}(\psi_0(S_n))a - a) \\ &= (P_n'\hat{\otimes}P_n')((\Delta_{\mathcal{A}})_{\mathcal{U}}(T_n)a - a) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{S_n\}$ is a (locally) diagonal in $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fréchet algebra with $\aleph(\mathcal{A})^+$-good ultrafilter such that has approximation property then $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ has (locally) diagonal if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ has (locally) diagonal.*

Proof. Since every ultrafilter is equal to a net (see [12]) it follows from above theorem. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fréchet algebra with approximation property and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a $\aleph(\mathcal{A})^+$-good ultrafilter. $(\mathcal{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$ is contractible if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is contractible.*

Example 4.4. *Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a köthe matrix which is non-negative real matrix that satisfies the following condition:*

(1) *for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{i,j} > 0$*
(2) *$a_{i,j} \leq a_{i,j+1}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

For each köthe matrix we define:

$$\lambda^1(A) = \{x = (x_i) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} : P_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i| a_{i,k} < \infty, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

$\lambda^1(A)$ *is Fréchet space with fundamental family of semi-norms* $(P_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. *This space with additional condition* $a_{i,j} \in \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$ *for all* $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ *and pointwise multiplication is Fréchet algebra.*

In the above description, we take $a_{i,j} = 1$ *for all* $i \leq j$ *and zero for other. It is easy to show that* $\lambda^1(A) = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *with following semi-norms*

$$P_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i| \qquad x \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Since $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}} = \varprojlim \mathbb{C}^n$ *is reduced projective limit (see* [18]*) and* $(\mathbb{C}^n)_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathbb{C}^n$, *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *so with a good ultrafilter by* [7, Corollary 2.3] *we have*

$$(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim (\mathbb{C}^n)_{\mathcal{U}} = \varprojlim \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Now by [18, Corollary 2,chapter III] $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *has approximation property and since* $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is contractible, then its ultrapower* $(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}})_{\mathcal{U}}$ *is contractible.*

5. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank to the help of the prof. B. Sims for sending us his interesting book "" Ultra"-techniques in Banach space theory".

References

[1] K. D. Bierstedt and J. Bonet., *Some aspects of the modern theory of Fréchet spaces,* RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. Vol. 97 (2), 2003, pp. 159188

[2] C.C. Chang, H.J. Keisler., *Model Theory,* (Amsterdam, North Holland 1973).

[3] H. G. Dales., *Banach algebras and automatic continuity,* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000).

[4] M. Daws., *Amenability of ultrapowers of Banach algebras,* Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **52**(2009), 307-338..

[5] M. Daws., *Banach algebras of operator,* PhD. thesis. University of Leeds. 2005.

[6] H. Goldmann., *Uniform Fréchet algebra,* (North-Holland,1990).

[7] S. Heinrich., *Ultrapowers of locally convex spaces and applications, I,* Math. Nachr. 118 (1984) 285-315.

[8] S. Heinrich., *Ultrapowers of locally convex spaces and applications, II,* Math. Nachr. 121 (1985) 211-229.

[9] S. Heinrich., *Ultraproducts in Banach space theory,* J. Reine Angew. Math. 313 (1980), 72-104.

[10] B. E. Johnson., *Cohomology in Banach algebras,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1972).

[11] J. Kakol, W. Kubis and M.L. Pellicer, *Descriptive topology in selected topics of functional analysis,* Springer US, (2011)

[12] G. Köthe., *Topological vector space I,II,* Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1969).

[13] S. H. Kang., *Ultraproduct of locally convex spaces,* Bull. Korean Math. soc. **27**(1990), 189-196.
[14] A. Mallios., *Topological Algebras, Selected Topics,* North-Holland,,1986.
[15] R.Meise and D. Vogt. *Introduction to Functional Analysis* Oxford Science Publications, 1997.
[16] V. Runde., *Lectures Notes on Amenability,* Springer,2002.
[17] W. Rudin., *Functional Analysis,* 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill Book company, New York,1991.
[18] H. Schaefer., *Topological vector spaces,* The Macmillan Co., New York, 1966.
[19] B. Sims., *Ultratechniques in Banach space theory,* Queen's paper in pure and applied Math. **60**(1982).

Mathematics Department, Bu-Ali Sina University, 65174-4161, Hamadan, Iran
E-mail address: efeizi@basu.ac.ir; j.soleymani@basu.ac.ir